

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,997	09/05/2003	Jon P. Daley	MI22-2380	7524
21567	7590 08/08/2005		EXAMINER	
WELLS ST. JOHN P.S.			PERKINS, PAMELA E	
SPOKANE,	ST AVENUE, SUITE 1300 WA 99201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	
		DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/655,997	DALEY, JON P.	(m)			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Pamela E. Perkins					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover s	sheet with the correspondence a	ddress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by specify received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, howevent. The statutory minimeriod will apply and will expire Statutor, cause the application to be	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered tim X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this secome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Q	03 June 2005.					
2a)⊠		This action is non-final					
3)[
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	s)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,19-50 and 52-70</u> is/are rejected.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirem	ent.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
·	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•	• • • •	` '			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the a	attached Office Action or form F	°TO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eian priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		3 (2) (2) (1)				
ŕ	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been receiv	ved.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been receiv	ed in Application No				
	$3.\square$ Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents hav	e been received in this Nationa	al Stage			
	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified cop	ies not received.				
Attachmen	• •						
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	4) 📙 Ir	nterview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	3/08) 5) □ N	otice of Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152)			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/3/05</u> .	6) LJ O	ther:				

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to filing of the amendment on 3 June 2005.

Claims 1-71 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 8-11, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20-38, 41-44, 47, 48, 50, 52-64, 67, 68 and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duval (5,955,244) in view of lonov et al. (6,013,582).

Referring to claims 1, 30 and 53, Duval discloses a method of forming a patterned photoresist layer over a semiconductor substrate where an antireflective coating deposited over a semiconductor substrate (20), the antireflective coating having an outer surface (col. 7, lines 20-25); treating the outer surface with a basic fluid (col. 7, lines 25-29); applying a photoresist onto the outer surface which has been treated with the basic treating fluid (col. 7, lines 34-41); and patterning and developing the photoresist effective to form a patterned photoresist layer (22) (col. 8, lines 1-14). Duval does not disclose the photoresist layer having increased footing at a base region of the layer than would otherwise occur in the absence of the treating the outer surface.

Art Unit: 2822

lonov et al. disclose a method of forming a pattern photoresist layer over a semiconductor substrate where an antireflective coating deposited over a semiconductor substrate, the antireflective coating having an outer surface; treating the outer surface with a basic; applying a photoresist onto the outer surface which has been treated with the basic; patterning and developing the photoresist effective to form a patterned photoresist layer having laterally projecting feet proximate the semiconductor substrate outer surface, having increased footing at a base region (col. 1, line 67 thru col. 2, line 7).

Since Duval and lonov et al. are both from the same field of endeavor, a method of forming a pattern photoresist layer over a semiconductor substrate, the purpose disclosed by lonov et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Duval. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Duval by patterned photoresist layer having laterally projecting feet proximate the semiconductor substrate outer surface, having increased footing at a base region as taught by lonov et al. because of the basic reacting with the photoresist (col. 2, lines 1-7).

Referring to claims 2, 37 and 60, Duval discloses the outer surface as organic (col. 7, lines 20-23).

Referring to claims 3, 38 and 61, Duval discloses the outer surface as inorganic (col. 4, lines 30-34).

Referring to claims 8 and 41, Duval discloses the outer surface comprising silicon carbide (col. 4, lines 30-34).

Art Unit: 2822

Referring to claims 9, 10, 42, 43, 62 and 63, Duval discloses the basic treating fluid has a pH of at least 10.5 (col. 2, lines 62-63).

Referring to claims 11, 27, 35, 44, 58 and 64, Duval discloses the basic treating fluid is liquid (col. 5, lines 59-61).

Referring to claims 14, 47 and 67, Duval discloses the basic treating fluid comprises potassium hydroxide (col. 5, lines 5-14).

Referring to claims 15, 48 and 68, Duval discloses the basic treating fluid comprises sodium hydroxide (col. 5, lines 5-14).

Referring to claims 17, 50 and 70, Duval discloses the basic treating fluid comprises an alkyl amine (col. 5, lines 26-44).

Referring to claims 19 and 20, Duval discloses treating is for no more than 1 minute (col. 5, lines 65-67).

Referring to claims 21 and 53, Duval discloses the photoresist as a positive photoresist (col. 7, lines 37-38).

Referring to claim 22, Duval discloses the photoresist as a negative photoresist (col. 7, line 40).

Referring to claims 23, 31 and 54, Duval discloses not exposing the outer surface to any liquid intermediate the treating and the applying (col. 4, lines 50-60).

Referring to claims 24, 25, 28, 32, 33, 36, 55, 56 and 59, Duval discloses drying outer surface intermediate the treating and the applying (col. 5, line 67 thru col.6, line 4).

Referring to claims 26, 34 and 57, Duval discloses not exposing the outer surface to any liquid intermediate the treating and the applying; and the outer surface is at least

Art Unit: 2822

partially dried intermediate the treating and the applying (col. 4, lines 50-60; col. 5, line 67 thru col. 6, line 4).

Referring to claims 29 and 52, Duval discloses the outer surface is reflective of incident radiation used in said patterning of the photoresist (col. 3, lines 29-41).

Claims 4-7, 12, 39, 40, 45 and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duval in view of Ionov et al. as applied to claims 1, 30 and 59 above, and further in view of Yin et al. (6,380,611).

Duval in view of lonov et al. disclose the subject matter claimed above except the basic treating fluid is gaseous.

Yin et al. disclose a method of forming a patterned photoresist layer over a semiconductor substrate where an antireflective coating (7) deposited over a semiconductor substrate (1), the antireflective coating (7) having an outer surface (col. 5, line 66 thru col. 8, line 3); treating the outer surface (col. 8, lines 50-59); applying a photoresist (3) onto the outer surface which has been treated (col. 9, lines 42-56); and patterning and developing the photoresist effective to form a patterned photoresist layer (col. 9, lines 57-60).

Referring to claims 12, 45 and 65, Yin et al. disclose the treating fluid as gaseous (col. 8, lines 50-53).

Since Duval and Yin et al. are both from the same field of endeavor, a method of forming a patterned photoresist layer over a semiconductor substrate, the purpose disclosed by Yin et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Duval.

Art Unit: 2822

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Duval by the basic treating fluid is gaseous as taught by Yin et al. to provide an accurate mask for subsequent layers (col. 2, lines 5-31).

Referring to claims 4-7, 39 and 40, Yin et al. disclose the outer surface comprising a nitride, silicon nitride, titanium nitride and silicon dioxide (col. 8, lines 3-16).

Claims 13, 46, 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duval in view of lonov et al. as applied to claims 1, 30 and 53 above, and further in view of Oberlander et al. (6,844,131).

Duval in view of lonov et al. disclose the subject matter claimed above except the basic treating fluid comprising tetramethyl ammonium hydroxide.

Oberlander et al. disclose a method of forming a patterned photoresist layer over a semiconductor substrate where an antireflective coating deposited over a semiconductor substrate, the antireflective coating having an outer surface; treating the outer surface; and applying a photoresist onto the outer surface which has been treated (col. 13, lines 8-32).

Referring to claims 13, 46 and 66, Oberlander et al. disclose treating the outer surface with tetramethyl ammonium hydroxide (col. 14, lines 2-15).

Since Duval and Oberlander et al. are both from the same field of endeavor, a method of forming a patterned photoresist layer over a semiconductor substrate, the purpose disclosed by Oberlander et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art

Art Unit: 2822

of Duval. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Duval by treating the outer surface with tetramethyl ammonium hydroxide as taught by Oberlander et al. to optimize the photoresist (col. 13, lines 25-29).

Claims 16, 49 and 69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duval in view of lonov et al. as applied to claims 1, 30 and 53 above, and further in view of Sahbari (6,350,560).

Duval in view of lonov et al. disclose the subject matter claimed above except the basic treating fluid comprising ammonium fluoride.

Sahbari discloses a method of forming a patterned photoresist layer over a semiconductor substrate where an antireflective coating deposited over a semiconductor substrate, the antireflective coating having an outer surface; and treating the outer surface (col. 2, lines 64-67).

Referring to claims 16, 49 and 69, Sahbari discloses treating the other surface with ammonium fluoride (col. 1, line 65 thru col. 2, line 1).

Since Duval and Sahbari are both from the same field of endeavor, a method of forming a patterned photoresist layer over a semiconductor substrate, the purpose disclosed by Sahbari would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Duval.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Duval by treating the other surface with ammonium fluoride as taught by Sahbari to prevent corrosion of the substrate (col. 2, lines 43-51).

Page 8

Claim 18 is allowed.

Claims 51 and 71 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: prior art does not anticipate, teach, or suggest performing the basic treating fluid is at room ambient temperature and room ambient pressure.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17, 19-50 and 52-70 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2822

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pamela E. Perkins whose telephone number is (571) 272-1840. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on (571) 272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Trinh Primary Examiner

Act SPE

PEP